MA 509 - REAL ANALYSIS- LECTURE 32

4/10/2020

Thm. 4.11 If F is a cont. mapping of a cpt. M.B. X into R, then F(X) is closed and bounded. Thus, f is bounded.

Proof: By the previous theorem, f(X) is a cpt. subset of IRK, Hence by Heine-Borel theorem, f(X) is closed and bounded. Hence f(X) is bounded. **N** 

Thm.4.12 Suppose f is a cont. real-valued function on a cpt. m.s. X, and

M= sup (fcp), m= inf (fcp), pex pex then J pts · p, q EX & fcp)=M, fcq)=m.

Proof: By the previthm, f(X) is closed and bounded subset of R. Hence by Thm, 2.16 (Lec. 13)

 $M = \sup(f(X)) \in f(X)$  $m = i'nf(f(X)) \in f(X).$ 

Thm. 4.13 Suppose f is a cont-1-1 mapping of a cpt. m.s. X onbo a m.s. Y. Then the inverse mapping f-1 defined on Y is a continuous function on Y. Proof: \_ Claim; For every open V of X, f(V) is open in Y.

To that end, fix an open set V in X. Then VC is aclosed subset of cpt. m.s. X, hence VC is cpt. But then by Thm. 4.11, f(VC) is cpt. subset of Y, hence closed.

But f is 1-1 & onto . Hence f(V<sup>e</sup>) = f(V)<sup>e</sup> Let ye f(V<sup>c</sup>) => F1 x eV<sup>e</sup> > f(x)=y, Also x eV =) f(x) ef(V) so that f(x) e f(V<sup>e</sup>). So f(V<sup>e</sup>) e f(V)<sup>e</sup> . Similarly show f(V<sup>e</sup>) e f(V<sup>e</sup>). =) fev) is open in Y. =) f -: Y -> X is cont. on Y, M

UNIFORM CONTINUITY

Let f be a mapping from a metric space into a metric space ?. Then f is said to be uniformly continuous on X if for every E>0, J & 70 D whenever dx (p,q) < S for any p,q E X, we have dy (f(p), f(q)) < E, f(x)= 1 is not unif cont on (0,00), 

f(x)=x D'ifferences between uniform -> continuity & continuity 1) Unificant. is a property forman is of a function on a set, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_s forman is whereas contined at unificant.on (",~) a single point. DIA unif-cont., Dis a function of only E, whereas in cont. S is a function of both E & the point where it is continuous. \* Uniformly continuous function is continuous Thm. 4.14 Let f be a cont-mapping of a compact mrs. X into a m.s. Y. Then f is uniformly continuous on X. Proof: Given 270, f cont. implies that associated to a pl. pex, 7 \$(p)>0 >  $q \in X$ ,  $d_{X}(P,q) < \phi(P) \implies d_{Y}(f(P), f(q)) < \frac{2}{2}$ Let  $J(p) = \{q_{\beta} \times : d_{\chi}(p,q) < \frac{1}{2}\phi(p)\}$ Note that peJ(p). Hence {J(p)} where pex forms an open cover of X, Since X is cpt-, J Pin Pr, ..., Pn InX 2  $X \subset J(p_1) \cup J(p_2) \cup \dots \cup J(p_n) \longrightarrow (p_n)$ Now let  $S = \lim_{2} \min\{\phi(p_1), \phi(p_2), \dots, \phi(p_n)\}$ 

Then 570. Now we show unif. cont. of f on X, Let  $q, p \in Y \rightarrow d_x(p,q) < S$ . By (\*\*),  $\exists m, 1 \leq m \leq n \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{J}(p_m)$  so that  $d_{x}(p,p_{m}) < \frac{1}{2} \phi(p_{m}).$ Also,  $d_x(q, pm) \leq d_x(p,q) + d_x(p, pm)$  $< \delta + \frac{1}{2}\phi(p_m) \leq \phi(p_m).$ Hence by (\*),  $d_{\gamma}(f(p), f(q)) \leq d_{\gamma}(f(p), f(pm)) + d_{\gamma}(f(q), f(pm))$  $< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \varepsilon$ =) fisure on X, AN AN Thm. 9.15 Let E be a non-compart set in R. Then: a cont-fn. on E which is not bounded.
J a cont-and bdd-fn. on E which has no maximum. In addition to the above hypotheses, let E be bounded. Then, CJ = a cont-fn. on E which is not u.e.